

Antibodies are to Viruses as Cookie Crumbs are to Santa Claus :

How Generations of Health Professionals have been Indoctrinated with Indirect Evidence

Brian T. Sweeney, M.D.

July 8, 2020

Many of us remember waking up as children on Christmas morning to find that the cookies left the previous night by our parents had been reduced to crumbs. Surely, Santa Claus had become hungry and eaten them while putting presents under the tree. This kind of 'magical thinking' is at the heart of more than a century of medical malfeasance that has perpetuated the current coronavirus plandemic. Two months after my last entry in May and nearly four months after the end of flu season, the government has intensified the manufactured panic by mandating mask-wearing and social-distancing through this early part of July. Nobody seems to research how alleged viruses can not withstand temperatures above approximately 86 degrees Fahrenheit (<http://www.accuweather.com/en/health-wellness/coronavirus-expert-says-the-virus-will-burn-itself-out-in-about-6-months/679415>).

The next stage in monitoring is antibody testing. This author can not seem to locate which antibodies are of interest as no source of information is readily available. Some suggest that researchers still are trying to determine for which antibodies they should test; nonetheless, billboards and news reports seem to indicate that such testing is being done. This author was solicited by the state of Louisiana to help with testing for a two-week period, but did not respond to the email invite. The main problem appears to be that any antibodies that are found must by definition be to surface antigens on a vesicle (e.g., exosome) of some kind generated by the person tested. For example, exosomes can only be said to exist if at least one of three markers (i.e., CD9, CD63, CD81) is discovered (<https://youtu.be/CSXwXeeek2Lc>).

In medical school, we are taught how to determine if a virus is present by testing for antibodies, viral load, or other indicators which are 'indirect' evidence of the presence of a virus. This revelation was key to my current epiphany that viruses (as we commonly have understood them) do not exist! If they do exist, then why do we not have a test that directly isolates, purifies, and identifies them rather than using PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) amplification or other means to compare them to some standard which itself never fulfilled Koch's postulates sufficiently? Are not the 29,903 bases of the single-stranded RNA purported to be 'COVID-19' essentially genetic material perhaps enclosed within a membrane covered possibly with antigens?

This essay may serve as a template for the first podcast on freedomfordoctors.org. I will contemplate this tomorrow as the bed is calling me hither. Let me check under the pillow where I had left a tooth the previous night hoping for a visit from the Tooth Fairy. It is gone! Hey, is that a magic wand on the floor?